

Programming Paradigms

Second session about logic programming

Hans Hüttel

1 December 2020

Most of the problems today are not programming problems but have to do with the learning goal of understanding the underlying theory.

Problem 1

Given the Datalog program:

```
loves(rose, jack).
loves(jack, rose).
loves(caledon, rose).
happy(X) :- loves(X, Y), loves(Y, X).
```

What are all the possible interpretations?

Solution:

The Herbrand universe U_P is

$$U_P = \{\text{rose}, \text{jack}, \text{caledon}\}$$

The Herbrand base B_P is

$$B_P = \{\text{loves}(t_1, t_2) \mid t_1, t_2 \in U_P\} \cup \{\text{happy}(t) \mid t \in U_P\}$$

The set of possible interpretations is the family of subsets

$$I_P = \{S \mid S \subseteq B_P\}$$

Problem 2

Given the Datalog program above with the interpretation:

$$I = \{\text{loves}(\text{rose}, \text{jack}), \text{loves}(\text{caledon}, \text{caledon}), \text{happy}(\text{rose})\}$$

which of the following ground atoms and rules are true under the interpretation:

- $\text{loves}(\text{rose}, \text{jack})$?
- $\text{loves}(\text{jack}, \text{rose})$?
- $\text{happy}(\text{rose})$?
- $\text{happy}(\text{jack})$?
- $\text{happy}(\text{caledon})$?
- $\text{happy}(\text{caledon}) \Leftarrow \text{loves}(\text{caledon}, \text{caledon}), \text{loves}(\text{caledon}, \text{caledon})$?
- $\text{happy}(\text{rose}) \Leftarrow \text{loves}(\text{rose}, \text{jack}), \text{loves}(\text{jack}, \text{rose})$?

Solution: Facts mentioned in the program but not in the interpretation are not true.

- $\text{loves}(\text{rose}, \text{jack})$ is true, since $\text{loves}(\text{rose}, \text{jack}) \in I$
- $\text{loves}(\text{jack}, \text{rose})$ is false since $\text{loves}(\text{jack}, \text{rose}) \notin I$

- $\text{happy}(\text{rose})$ is true since $\text{happy}(\text{rose}) \in I$
- $\text{happy}(\text{jack})$ is false since $\text{happy}(\text{jack}) \notin I$
- $\text{happy}(\text{caledon})$ is false since $\text{happy}(\text{caledon}) \notin I$
- $\text{happy}(\text{caledon}) \Leftarrow \text{loves}(\text{caledon}, \text{caledon})$, $\text{loves}(\text{caledon}, \text{caledon})$ is true, since this is an instance of the rule where the premises are true (since $\text{loves}(\text{caledon}, \text{caledon}) \in I$ and $\text{loves}(\text{caledon}, \text{caledon}) \in I$) and the conclusion $\text{happy}(\text{caledon})$ also is.
- $\text{happy}(\text{rose}) \Leftarrow \text{loves}(\text{rose}, \text{jack}), \text{loves}(\text{jack}, \text{rose})$ is true, since $\text{happy}(\text{rose})$ is true.

Problem 3

Given the Datalog program above which of these interpretations are *models*?

$$I_1 = \{\text{loves}(\text{rose}, \text{jack})\}$$

$$I_2 = \{\text{loves}(\text{rose}, \text{jack}), \text{loves}(\text{jack}, \text{rose}), \text{loves}(\text{caledon}, \text{rose})\}$$

$$I_3 = \{\text{loves}(\text{rose}, \text{jack}), \text{loves}(\text{jack}, \text{rose}), \text{loves}(\text{caledon}, \text{rose}), \text{happy}(\text{rose})\}$$

$$I_4 = \{\text{loves}(\text{rose}, \text{jack}), \text{loves}(\text{jack}, \text{rose}), \text{loves}(\text{caledon}, \text{rose}), \text{happy}(\text{rose}), \text{happy}(\text{jack})\}$$

$$I_5 = \{\text{loves}(\text{rose}, \text{jack}), \text{loves}(\text{jack}, \text{rose}), \text{loves}(\text{caledon}, \text{rose}), \text{happy}(\text{rose}), \text{happy}(\text{jack}), \text{happy}(\text{caledon})\}$$

Which model is *minimal*?

Solution: Again, remember that facts mentioned in the program but not in the interpretation are not true.

I_4 and I_5 are models. I_4 is minimal.

Problem 4

Given the Datalog program

```
god(odin).
son(odin,thor).
son(odin,baldr).
son(thor,mothi).
son(thor,magni).
demigod(X) :- son(Y,X), god(Y).
mortal(X) :- son(Y,X), demigod(Y).
```

Compute the *minimal model* using the immediate consequence operator T_p .

Show the facts inferred in each iteration.

Solution:

$$T_p(\emptyset) = \emptyset$$

$$T_p^1(\emptyset) = T_p^0(\emptyset) \cup \{\text{god}(\text{odin}), \text{son}(\text{odin}, \text{thor}), \text{son}(\text{odin}, \text{baldr}), \text{son}(\text{thor}, \text{mothi}), \text{son}(\text{thor}, \text{magni})\}$$

$$T_p^2(\emptyset) = T_p^1(\emptyset) \cup \{\text{demigod}(\text{thor}), \text{demigod}(\text{baldr})\}$$

$$T_p^3(\emptyset) = T_p^2(\emptyset) \cup \{\text{mortal}(\text{mothi}), \text{mortal}(\text{magni})\}$$

Problem 5

Determine if the Datalog program:

$A(X) :- B(X, X).$

$C(X, X) :- D(X).$

$A(X) :- B(X, Y), \text{ not } C(X, Y).$

$B(X, Y) :- C(X, Y), \text{ not } D(X).$

is stratified. If so, compute its stratification.

Solution: We build the precedence graph. Its edges are

$B \rightarrow^+ A$

$D \rightarrow^+ C$

$C \rightarrow^- A$

$B \rightarrow^+ A$

$C \rightarrow^+ B$

$D \rightarrow^- B$

It does not contain any cycles with negative edges. Moreover, every variable that occurs in a negative atom also occurs in a positive atom in the body.

From the graph, we see that the stratification is

$$P_0 = \{D\}, P_1 = \{C\}, P_3 = \{B\} = P_4 = \{A\}$$

A problem related to the miniproject

Assume we can travel from city to city by railway lines of types A , B or C .

Write Datalog programs to answer the questions:

- Find all routes from x to y by any means.
- Find all routes from x to y by railway lines of type A only.
- Find all routes from x to y by lines of type A , but only if there is no route from x to y by lines of other types.